

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,122	02/22/2002	Bryan P. Riddiford	DP-305565 (7500/87)	8542	
7590 06/02/2004			EXAMINER		
SCOTT A. MCBAIN, ESQ.			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES INC. LEGAL STAFF, MAIL CODE: 480-414-420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 5052			3683		
TROY, MI 48007-5052		DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,122	RIDDIFORD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. Williams	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ap	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 0204.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,14 and 18-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-13 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	о П					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/081,122

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made in the receipt of the amendment filed April 15, 2004.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 6-13 and 15-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-13 and 15-17 of U.S. Patent No. US 6,655,756 to Riddiford et al. in view of US 5,496,102 to Dimatteo et al.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Riddiford et al. teaches estimating the chamber pressure in the actuator apply chamber rather than sensing the chamber pressure in the actuator apply chamber (as recited in the instant application). However, Dimatteo et al. teaches that it is known in the art to use sensors to provide actuator apply chamber pressure information to the controller, this information is considered an accurate estimation of chamber pressure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the system of Riddiford et al. with sensing means as the apply chamber as taught by Dimatteo et al., thus producing the best estimate of the apply chamber pressure.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-5, 14 and 18-20 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: with regards to claims 1 and 14, the prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious a force generating apparatus and method for modifying an electrical force signal of the force generating apparatus, wherein the signal is modified to limit the rate at which fluid pressure in the apply chamber is reduced during a fast release mode to a predetermined rate low enough to preclude a change in modulus of the fluid during fast mode release; with regards to claim 5, the prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious a force generating apparatus, wherein an electrical signal is modified during fast mode release, and wherein a solenoid is located between an apply chamber of the force generating apparatus and a force applying element.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is the opinion of the examiner that the phrase "sensing" does not render the claim non-obvious in view of the art.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/081,122

Art Unit: 3683

Page 4

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

8. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1346.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Lavinder, can be reached at (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TJW

May 27, 2004

TYCOMO WILLIAMO PYTENT EXAMPLET

Thomas Williams

AU 1683

5-27-04